IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Revision No.171 of 2000

Date of decision: May 11, 2010

Kailash Rani

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.N. Jindal

Present: Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. C.S. Brar, DAG, Punjab for the respondent.

A.N. Jindal, J

The complainant-petitioner has preferred this revision petition against the order dated 8.6.2000 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, vide which the accused-respondent Naresh Kumar (herein referred as 'the respondent') was acquitted of the charges framed against him, whereas, accused respondent Wazir Chand was convicted under Section 467 IPC.

Having examined the records of the case, there are no specific allegations that the respondent Naresh Kumar was party to the fraud. However, it has been urged by the learned counsel for the petitioner that Naresh Kumar is in illegal possession of the premises in dispute and he has set up the claim on the basis of the agreement which has already been declared as forged by the court. As regards respondent Wazir Chand, a report has been received on the summons sent for his service that he has expired.

Having scrutinized the impugned judgment, I do not find any infirmity in the same. As such, this revision petition is dismissed. However, the complainant could have re-course his remedy to get the possession of the premises through civil court.

May 11, 2010 **(A.N. Jindal)**
deepak **Judge**